

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/627,194	07/27/2000	Kiyoshi Ozaki	1324.64545			
75	90 12/13/2002					
Greer, Burns, & Crain, Ltd.			EXAMINER			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			NGUYEN, HOAN C			
300 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500						
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2871			
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/627,194		SAKAI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		HOAN C. NGL		2871				
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ldress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory n will apply and will expire	wever, may a reply be tir inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status	Decree to a communication (s) filed on 22 (October 2002						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 (nis action is non-	final					
2a)☐	71110 0001011 10 7 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			rosecution as to t	he merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•		n						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
-	on Papers	Cicolion roquire	.,,,,,,,,					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	 Copies of the certified copies of the prices of the prices. application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		al Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				al application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional applic	ation has been re	eceived.				
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	suc priority unde	55 5.5.5. 33 17	LO GITATOT IL I.				
Attachme		4)	Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)			
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		al Patent Application (I				

Application/Control Number: 09/627,194

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains embodiments directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. The species of First embodiment (figure 8).
- B. The species of Second embodiment (figures 9 and 15).
- C. The species of Third embodiment (figures 10 and 16).
- D. The species of Fourth embodiment (figure 17).
- D. The species of Fifth embodiment (figure 18).
- D. The species of Sixth embodiment (figure 19).
- D. The species of Seventh embodiment (figure 20).
- D. The species of Eighth embodiment (figure 21).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims</u> and any drawings readable thereon, <u>including any claims subsequently added</u>. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/627,194

Art Unit: 2871

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472.

> HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn December 9, 2002

